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## INTRODUCTION.

§ 1. Plato, the deus philosophorum, as Cicero calls him, was born at Athens, or possibly Aegina, in the year 428 b.c. His parentage was noble: his father's family traced its line back to Codrus, whilst on his mother's side, Plato claimed descent from Solon. It is said that his real name was Aristocles, and that it was either his fluency of speech or the breadth of his chest which won him the name of Plato. He was carefully educated, and was reputed a keen scholar. His life falls naturally into three divisions.
(1) Period of Discipleship, 428-399 B.c.-He was at first deeply impressed with the views of Heraclitus, and was drifting, it is said, into scepticism, when in his twentieth year he fell under the influence of Socrates, and, like his brothers Glaucon and Adimantus, sat at the feet of that teacher till the latter's execution in 399 b.c. There is little doubt that this act completed the disgust with which the unsettled state of politics at Athens since 415 B.c. had already inspired Plato, and contributed to turn his thoughts to the excogitation of an ideal state which should be free from the faults of the constitutions which he saw around him.
(2) Period of Travel and Development, 399-387 в.c.-On the death of his master he lived for some time at Megara with Euclides, a disciple of Socrates, who dwelt almost exclusively on the logical side of the Socratic teaching. In the course of his wanderings thence he reached Cyrene, and there acquired a dislike to the theory which Aristippus, the head of the Cyrenaic School, had wrung from the teaching of Socrates-that, as our feelings are the only thing we can be sure of, pleasure is the end of life. In Magna Graecia he found flourishing two pre-Socratic schools
of thought, both of which influenced his mind very considerably. To the Pythagoreans is due not only much of Plato's mysticism, but also of his fondness for mathematics. To the Eleatics he was indebted for the conception of the reality, $\tau \grave{2} \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \nu$, underlying that ceaseless flux, тঠे $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon v o v$, which was, in Heraclitus's view, the only form of existence. It was under these influences that Plato conjoined to the ethical ideas he had drawn from Socrates the beginnings of a system of logic, physics and metaphysics, which he gradually blended together into an harmonious whole.
(3) Period of Professorship at Athens, 387-347 в.c.During most of this time he gave free public lectures, both in the garden of his own house at Colonus, and in the Academy, a gymnasium about a mile to the north-west of the city, whence his followers took the name of the Academic School, or the Academy. These were not exactly formal lectures, but rather conversations like those in which Socrates sought to "bring men's thoughts to the birth by means of question and answer" (cf. Phaedo, 75 D). Probably his deeper teaching at home to his more intimate disciples, some twenty-eight in number, took more of the shape of that professorial discourse which his writings, at first pure dialogues, tended more and more to assume. Amongst this inner circle of his disciples were Speusippus, his nephew, who succeeded him as head of the Academy, and Aristotle, whom Plato called "the Intellect of his School," and who, after his master's death, founded the School which was called the Peripatetic. Plato's residence at Athens was interrupted by two visits to Sicily, which he had seemingly already once visited during the second period of his life. On the first occasion, in 387 в.c., he is said to have been at first kindly received at Syracuse by its despot, Dionysius the Elder, but to have been afterwards sold into slavery, from which he was liberated by Anniceris of Cyrene. He, however, made a great impression on Dion, the brother-in-law of the despot, who, on the death of the Elder Dionysius, in 367 b.o., invited Plato over in the hope that he would train up the despot's son and successor, the Younger Dionysius, upon the model of the philosopher-king of the Republic. The experiment, however, was not successful.

Dionysius grew impatient of control, banished Dion, and made it advisable that Plato should withdraw before the expiry of the year. Some years later, 361 b.c., he was induced to return, but was glad to make a hasty escape. Having thus proved to his sorrow the impracticability of his ideal state, "save in the heavens," he continued teaching at Athens till his death, 347 b.c.
§ 2. The Writings of Plato. There are extant, besides one book of Letters (almost certainly spurious), thirty-five dialogues ascribed to Plato. These have been classified in as many ways as the plays of Shakspere. They may be arranged according to the subject-matter-ethics, physics, and metaphysics; they may be divided into dialogues of search ( $\upharpoonright \eta \tau \eta \tau \iota \kappa \circ i)$, and of exposition ( $£ \phi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \iota \kappa o i$ ) ; or they may be arranged, not exactly in chronological order, but in order of development. The second is the orthodox and time-honoured method : the last the most fruitful. The first must needs be arbitrary, as Plato himself did not either confine or express his thought in any such definite departments-that was left for Aristotle to do, and to do well. To Plato philosophy was one and indivisible: Aristotle, while accepting its unity, broke it up, for convenience of treatment, into the still recognised parts. Aristotle handled apart both Logio, and thereafter in succession those sciences for the study of which Logic provides principles and methods. His classification of these sciences may be shown thus:-


Doubtless many of Plato's works might be assigned to one or other of these divisions; but the more important it would be very difficult to locate. The Republic, for instance, may be mainly political, but it treats, not merely touches, every single one of the foregoing sciences. So, too, with the Phaedo, the Laws, etc.
(1) Accepting, then, the third method of classification, we find, corresponding to the first period of Plato's life, certain Socratic Dialogues, in which, with a view to learning what a given thing is, various opinions concerning it are compared, the accidentals are eliminated, and ultimately, in some cases, a general concept ( $\epsilon \nu v o o a)$ is arrived at. It is thus that the Laches deals with Courage, the Charmides with Temperance, the first book of the Republic with Justice, the Lysis with Friendship, the Euthyphro with Piety, the Protagoras with Virtue, etc. All these are Socratic, both in subject and in method, i.e., they deal with ethics, and they prove to the interlocutors, by means of question and answer (or "dialectic"), that they know neither what they are talking about, nor what they should and can know.
(2) Influenced by the various thinkers whom he met in the second period of his life, Plato went a step further by regarding these general conceptions not merely as thoughts in the mind, but as ióéal, Ideas, having an existence of their own. The ideas are separable ( $\chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ) from things (фаıvó $\mu \in \nu a$ ), which are, in fact, what they are, because of the ideas. He never quite explains the how of it: at first the ideas are present or immanent in things, and things participate in the ideas ( $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma i \alpha, \mu^{\prime} \theta \in \xi(s)$ ) ; later the ideas are the exemplars or archetypes which things imitate ( $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha, \mu i \mu \eta \sigma \iota s)$. Only ideas can be known: things can only be seen, heard, felt, etc. This is because things seem, ideas are. Heraclitus is, in fact, right, so far as he goes : the phenomenal world is in a state of ceaseless flux and change ( $\pi \sigma^{\prime} . v \tau \alpha \dot{\rho} \in \hat{\imath}$ ), and the senses whereby we perceive it are equally unstable. But over and above this, there is an ideal world apprehended, not by the senses, but by the soul, which must be eternal, even as the ideas it comprehends. This ideal world is not continually changing ( $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ), but really exists ( ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \iota$ ). True, Parmenides was
wrong in thinking all that really exists to bo one and the same ( $\tau \grave{\partial}$ oैv equiralent to $\tau \grave{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime \prime} v$ ), but he was right thus far at least: there are many realities, existences (overíau), for there are many ióéal; but they are all subordinate to the one suprome reality, the iठ́ća tov̂ áratov̂. Thus Ethics, closely interwoven with Politics, finds an abiding basis in Metaphysics (the knowledge of what really is), and is bound up closely with Dialectic (the instrument whereby its truths are discovered), and with Psychology which deals with the nature and function of the human soul-the only home of those truths.

All this is elaborated in the second and in the early part of the third period of Plato's life in Dialogues of the middle stage of Plato's thought. Amongst these Dialogues-the precise order is fertile matter for speculation-come the Symposium, treating of the lofty philosophic " $\bar{\epsilon} p \omega s$, which impels to the pursuit of true beauty and beauteous truth; the Meno, which elaborates the theory that knowledge ( $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \tau \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$, not mere oóg a, seeming) is the reminiscence (ảvá $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ ) of what the soul knew in a pre-existent state; the Phaedo, which asserts that the soul exists not only before birth, as is shown in the Phuedrus, but after death-thus, in fact, it is eternal ; the Gorgias, which treats of pleasure, both on its ethical and on its psychological side ; and the Republic -"that unsurpassable monument of genius," which, purporting to discover what justice is, tells us, as it were by the way, how states rise, wax and wane, works out a magnificent theory of education, and almost exhausts the analogy of state and individual.
(3) Answering to the third period of Plato's life, but in strict chronology covering only his later years, are the Dialogues from which his Syracusan experiences have taken away that high hope of the betterment of things with which he started, and in which the mysticism of Pythagoras becomes more pronounced. Of these the chief are the Timaeus, containing a physical theory of the universe in which the agency of the ideas is more exactly depicted than elsewhere, and the Laws, which retraces, in a somewhat more conservative spirit, the ground already covered in the Republic.
§ 3. The Early Philosophers and the Sophists.-The question which the pre-Socratic philosophers tried to answer was, What is the universal principle from which the whole of nature originated? From the days of Thales of Miletus ( $640-550$ в.c.) the answers given to it by the thinkers of Ionia, Magna Graccia, and Sicily were of the most conflicting nature. The Ionic physicists gave various materialistic explanations of the universe; e.g. Thales considered that the first cause of all things was water, Heracleitus that it was fire. On the other hand, the Eleatic school in Italy, the most famous names in which are Parmenides and Zeno, regarded the only real existence as infinite, indivisible, and imperishable being apprehended by thought, and considered the phenomenal world apprehended by the senses as an illusion. Anaxagoras of Clazomenae (500-428 в.о.) mado a great step in advance by asserting that Reason was the efficient cause of the universe. He maintained that each substance was composed of an infinite number of particles mainly like in nature to the whole of which they formed part ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mu о о о \mu є р \hat{\eta}\right)$, and that these particles, originally in a state of chaos ( $\delta i v \eta$ ), were arranged into a кó $\sigma \mu$ os by voûs, an infinite and selfexistent principle of Reason. Anaxagoras, who came to Athens about 460 B.C., and was an intimate friend of Pericles, shared his unpopularity, and was driven from Athens on a charge of impiety before the Peloponnesian war broke out.
The utter want of agreement among early "physicists" produced a reaction against the study of nature, and the age of the Sophists began. The name $\sigma 0 \phi \sigma \sigma \tau{ }^{\prime}$ s, which originally meant merely a clever man, and could be applied to a poet or legislator, was specially applied, in the fifth century b.c., to a class of popular teachers who claimed to prepare men for civic life, and to meet the want produced by the growth of the power of the people. Birth or wealth were no longer adequate to ensure the success of a public man; the power of persuading the popular Assembly was all-important, and the Sophists provided an education in rhetoric and general culture, including morals. Few of them were Athenians; and though they
centred chiefly in Athens, where there was a keen demand for the training they could give, they moved about through the various towns of Greece.

The earliest of the Sophists was Protagoras of Abdera, born about 485 b.o., and best known for his dictum, "Man is the measure of all things," i.e. knowledge is relative to the individual subject, and truth is different for any two individuals. Among the most famous of the later Sophists were Gorgias of Leontini in Sicily, Prodicus of Ceos, and Hippias of Elis. Gorgias came to Athens as an ambassador in 427 b.c., and afterwards attained fame and wealth by lecturing there on rhetoric. His attitude to the early physicists was onunciated in three propositions: (a) the substance for which they sought did not exist; (b) even if it did exist it could not be known ; (c) if it were known, the knowledge of it could not be communicated. Prodicus, with whom Socrates himself was intimate, was well-known for his investigations into synonyms, and is still remembered for his apologue on the choice of Heracles. Hippias was famous for the wide range of his knowledge, which embraced all the existing sciences. On a far lower level stood the Eristic Sophists, who taught the art of disputation not as a means of discovering truth, but solely as a way of gaining the victory over an opponent. It is this later development which Plato specially attacks; Protagoras he regards with admiration, Gorgias with respect.
§ 4. The Life of Socrates.-Socrates was born in the deme of Alopece, close to Athens, about 469 в.о., his father Sophroniscus being a sculptor, and his mother Phaenarete a midwife. Of his early days little is known, but he evidently profited by the lectures and conversation of the contemporary philosophers. Unique alike in physical and intellectual qualities, he soon became a well-known character in Athens. "Short of stature, thick-necked, and somewhat corpulent, with prominent eyes, with nose upturned and nostrils outspread, with large mouth and coarse lips, he seemed the embodiment of sensuality and even stupidity." His constitution was robust, and he * "Socrates," Encycl. Brit. ; Dr. H. Jackson.
went barefoot at all seasons of the year, wearing the samo clothing both in summer and winter. He served with courage and distinction in several campaigns, being present at the siege of Potidaea (432-429 B.C), and at the battles of Delium ( 421 B.c.) and of Amphipolis ( 422 в.c.). His upright and fearless character was strikingly shown on three important occasions. As President of the Prytanes during the trial of the generals after the battle of Arginusae, 406 B.C., he persisted in refusing to put to the vote a motion in favour of a grossly illegal method of procedure. Again, in 404 B.C., when ordered by the Thirty Tyrants to go to Salamis to bring Leon, one of their victims, for execution, he absolutely declined to take part in the disgraceful act. Finally, in 399 b.c., he showed the same high courage when death stared him in the face.
$\S 5$. The Teaching of Socrates.-For information on this subject we are in the main dependent on three contemporaries of Socrates-Plato, Xenophon, and Aristophanes. The pictures given by the two former supplement one another, Plato dwelling on the more purely speculative side of Socrates' teaching, Xenophon on his influence as a moral reformer. Aristophanes, on the other hand, unfairly identifying Socrates with the ordinary Sophists, takes him as a type of the modern influences which, in the conservative view of the poet, were ruining the old institutions of Athens. In the play of the clouds, acted in 423 B.C., Socrates is introduced as a pale-faced impostor, an atheist, a student of physical science, and a rhetorician who teaches how to mako the worse cause appear the better. The reasons why Aristophanes took Socrates for the butt of his jests are not far to seek; his personal appearance lent itself to caricature, and he was the only teacher whose physiognomy was familiar to all Athenians. Whereas ordinary Sophists taught only pupils who paid for instruction, Socrates spent the whole day in public, conversing in the market-place and the gymnasia with persons of all ranks and ages and in the hearing of all who chose to listen.

Socrates resembled the Sophists in unsettling the conventional notions of the day, and in the belief that know.
ledge was essential to the right ordering of life. But whereas the tendency of the teaching of many of the Sophists was purely destructive, that of Socrates was also constructive. Like the Sophists, he held aloof from enquiries into the secret of the universe, partly because the contradictory results arrived at by the early physicists seemed to indicate that such enquiries were futile, and partly because he held that they were impious. He took for his subject the moral nature of man, and was in one aspect a philosopher with a reasoned theory of ethics; in another and more important aspect he was a moral reformer, performing a divine mission.

Starting with two propositions which were readily admitted-(1) Everyone really desires his own good, and (2) Virtue is the highest good-he drew the conclusion that if a man did not possess virtue, it must be because he was ignorant of what constituted virtue. Knowledge in this way became synonymous with virtue, and vice with ignorance. In order to live a good life, a man must know the nature of justice, courage, piety, and the other good qualities which were embraced under the general name of virtue. The contemporaries of Socrates were confident enough that they understood what these words meant; his first step was to show that their confidence was mis-placed,-to act as physician of the soul, and to purge it from error.

The method he adopted was that of question and answer-the famous $\delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \eta$, which had indeed been employed with success by the Eleatic Zeno, but which in Socrates' hands achieved its chief fame. Starting with some popular definition the truth of which his interlocutor readily admitted, he would lead him, by his skilful questioning, to deduce from it consequences which were manifestly inconsistent with known facts, and thus disprove the proposition with which he started.

Such was the Socratic ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi$ os ("cross-examination"), which reduced the interlocutor to a state of perplexity, in which often he was content to remain, without trying to make any further advance. During the whole process, Socrates pretended to be entirely ignorant, and to be
trying to gain from others the knowledge that was wanting in himself.

Having thus cleared away the chief obstacle from the path to knowledge, Socrates now applied his positive or constructive method. To those of his hearers who did not leave him through personal pique he put suggestive questions tending to draw out what was in them, and thus associated them with himself in the search for knowledge. This process of educing men's latent thoughts he metaphorically designated as $\mu a \iota \epsilon \tau \tau \iota \kappa \eta$, "bringing to the birth." In this way it was possible to arrive at true conceptions of justice, temperance, etc., by which a man would be able to regulate his conduct, aiming at good objects and avoiding bad. Since the definitions were arrived at by comparing specific instances, e.g. of justice, and ascertaining what was the element common to all, Aristotle can say that Socrates rendered two great services to philosophy, by introducing induction and definition.

It can readily be seen that such a method would be sure to arouse considerable animosity on the part of people whose ignorance Socrates rendered patent to themselves and to others. In 399 b.c. he was indicted on a charge of impiety, the prosecutors being Meletus and two others. Socrates in his defence adopted an uncompromising tone, and would not flatter or entreat the jury. He was found guilty and sentenced to drink the hemlock. For thirty days he remained in prison, where his friends had free access to him, and he died serenely when the appointerl time arrived.
§6. Analysis of the "Euthyphro."-Ch. I.V-. Euthyphro is surprised to meet Socrates in the precincts of a law-court. The reason-is that Meletus has indicted Socrates for impiety. Euthyphro does not believe the charge, and proceeds to explain what has brought him to court; he has commenced a prosecution of his own father for mur--der. $\Rightarrow$ The victim, a labourer in the service of Euthyphro's father in Naxos, had killed one of the slaves in a fit of drunken rage; his master had bound him hand and foot and thrown him into a ditch, while he sent to Athens to ask the authorities what he should do ; but before the
answer arrived, the man had died. Euthyphro considersthat he is bound to prosecute his father. Socrates, having enquired whether Euthyphro is quite sure that his action is not impious, and received an emphatio answer in the affirmative, suggests that he cannot do better than become a pupil of Euthyphro, in order that he may be able to meet the charge brought by Meletus. Accordingly he asks for a definition of piety and impiety.

Ch. VI. The reply of Euthyphro is not a definition, but merely an enumeration of particular acts which are, in his view, pious. "Holiness is what I am doing now; it is to prosecute the wrongdoer, whose offenceis either bloodshed or sacrilege or anything else of the kind, whether he be one's father or mother or anyone else; and to neglect to prosecute is unholy." In support of this position Euthyphro appeals to the conduct of Zeus and Cronus towards their respective parents.

Ch. VII.-X. When the inadequacy of his first attempt at a definition has been pointed out, he makes a second effort: "What is dear to the gods is holy, and what is not dear to thom is undody." But ho is forced to admit that the gods differ on questions of right and wrong; consequently the same act may be both loved and hated by gods, i.e. both holy and unholy. But, while admitting this, he thinks the gods are agreed that a murderer deserves punishment. Socrates assents, but points out that disagreement arises not as to this general truth, but as to whether a particular act falls under the category of murder. He goes on to ask for a proof that the gods are agreed in thinking that Euthyphro's father has committed murder; but Euthyphro shirks answering, and Socrates thinks it unnecessary to press the question.

Ch. XI.-XIII. He-now suggests an amendment of the definition, which Euthyphre accepts.- "Whatever all the gods love is holy, whatever they all hate is unholy." But even this is shown to be unsatisfactory by the following line of argument. A state is the result of a series of acts; consequently a thing is in the state of being-loved becauseit is loved. But it is not true to say that holiness is holy because it is loved ; on the contrary, it is loved because it
is holy. Consequently, "holiness" and "what-is-loved-by-gods ${ }^{2}$ are not convertible terms. The quality of "being loved by gods" is, in fact, only an attribute of holiness, nat its essence.

Ch. XIII.-XVI. Io stimulate Euthyphro, who shows an inclination to stand on his dignity, Socrates suggests a step towards a fresh definition. Is it not possible that holiness may be a part of rectitude (סıкаєoov́vך, not "justice" as a separate virtue, but the sum total of right conduct)? Euthyphro does not immediately grasp the distinction between the propositions "All that is holy is right" and "All that is right is holy." An illustration makes it clear to him, and he admits that holiness is a part of rectitude. When asked what part it is, he gives his fourth definition: "That part of rectitude is pious and holy which is concerned with care for the gods." Socrates points out that the term "care" requires elucidation; Euthyphro asserts that it is analogous to the care of slaves for their masters, in fact a form of "service." But all service is serviceable for the production of some result : what then, asks Socrates, is the result which the gods produce with the aid of man's service?

Ch. XVI.-XX. Instead of answering, Euthyphro propounds a fifth definition: "Holiness is the doing and saying of things acceptable to the gods when one is sacrificing and praying." But this comes to the same thing as saying that holiness is what is loved by the geds, a position already refuted. Socrates wishes to begin afresh; but Euthyphro cannot stay any longer, and Socrates parts from him with an expression of disappointment at his failure to equip himself for the contest with Meletus.
§ 7. Purport of the "Euthyphro."-The main object of the dialogue is to discuss and refute some of the current notions on the subject of piety. They are appropriately put in the mouth of a soothsayer who is an expert in matters religious. Like the other early dialogues, the Euthyphro is essentially destructive. No decision is arriverd at, and we have only a hint as to the direction in which Socrates conceived that the true answer would be foumt.

In Ch. XVII. he complains that Euthyphro has avoided coming to the point when he was close upon it, adding that if he had answered the question, "What is that allglorious result which the gods produce when they use us as servants?" he would have given a satisfactory definition of piety. There can be but little doubt that the answer Socrates had in view was "right conduct." Piety, then, would not be a specific virtue, standing on the same plane as courage, temperance, and the like; it would embrace the whole field of virtuous action, regarded as a joint work of men and the gods, man being the instrument of the divine will.

Apart from the main theme of the dialogue, there is an implied defence of the attitude of Socrates towards religion. When Euthyphro, a believer in the popular mythology, prosecutes his father just as Zeus and Cronus had maltreated their respective fathers, his conduct is such as to shock the natural feelings of humanity. Accordingly it is clear that the orthodox view, if rigidly applied in practice, strikes a blow at family ties-the very result that Socrates was blamed for producing; for we learn from Xenophon's Memorabilia that the charge of corrupting the young was supported on the ground that he taught the young disrespect for their parents and kinsmen, by urging that men should seek their friends only among those who could be of use to them.
§ 8. Of Euthyphro very little is known. From the dialogue which bears his name we learn only that he was a soothsayer, that he had been a landholder in Naxos, and that he had taken proceedings against his father for the murder of a labourer. In the Cratylus he is mentioned several times as an enthusiast in etymology, in which Socrates ironically credits him with "wonderful cleverness"; he is called a native of Prospalta, one of the townships of Attica.
§ 9. Scene and Date of the "Euthyphro."-The dialogue is supposed to take place in the market-place of Athens, near the court of the king-archon, in 399 B.c., after Meletus has commenced the prosecution of Socrates. For the date of composition there is no external evidence;
but most authorities are agreed in considering it one of Plato's early works.

Note. -The Text of this edition is based on that of Schanz. In the preparation of the Notes the commentaries of Schanz and Adam have been consulted. For the first two sections of the Introduction the editor is indebted to the University Tutorial Press edition of the Phaedo.

## 

## TA TOT $\triangle I A \Lambda O Г O \Upsilon ~ П Р О \Sigma \Omega П А ~$ EஉఆஉФP $\Omega \mathrm{N}, \Sigma \Omega K P A T H \Sigma$.





 т $̀ \nu$ калои̂бı $\nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$.


$\Sigma \Omega$. Oủ $\gamma$ àp oûv.

$\Sigma \Omega$. Пávv $\begin{array}{r} \\ \\ \text {. }\end{array}$
ETE. Tís oûtos ;






EU, MEN.
 $\sigma \epsilon$ үе́ $\gamma \rho a \pi \tau а \iota$;

 є́кєîvos خáp, ẅs фךбıv, oîje, tiva трótov oi véo兀 סıa$\phi \theta \epsilon i \rho o \nu \tau a \iota ~ к a i ̀ ~ t i ̀ \ell \epsilon s ~ o i ~ \delta ı a \phi \theta \epsilon i ́ \rho o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ a u ̉ t o u ́ s . ~ к а i ̀ ~$































 léval.
III. $\Sigma \Omega$. ${ }^{9} \Omega$ ф'̀ $\lambda \epsilon$ Eù $\theta \dot{v} \phi \rho о \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ тò $\mu \grave{̀} \nu \kappa а \tau а \gamma є \lambda a-3$





 ov̉ $\pi a ́ \nu v$ є่ $\pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \hat{\omega} \pi \epsilon i \rho a \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$.








 ن́ $\mu i ̂ \nu$ тoîs $\mu a ́ \nu \tau \in \sigma \iota \nu$. $\forall$

 єं $\mu$ è $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$.



4 EケӨ．จь́кк．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Tiva；


 $\mu a ́ \lambda a \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \tau \eta$ s．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Tís oûtos；
10 ETE．＇O ć $\mu$ òs тaтท́p．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．＇O бо́s，${ }^{\text {e }}$ ßé $\lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ；
Ex $\Theta$ ．Пávv $\mu$ ย̀v oûv．

ET $\Theta$ ．Фóvov，乞̂ 之́́кратєя．




















4 тàs $\chi \epsilon i \rho a s ~ a u ̉ \tau о \hat{v}, \kappa а т a \beta a \lambda \grave{\omega} \nu$ єís тáфроv тıvá, тє́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \iota 4$

























 айтоб $\chi \in \delta \iota a ́ \zeta о \nu \tau а ́ ~ ф \eta \sigma \iota ~ к а і ~ к а \iota \nu о т о \mu о и ̂ \nu т а ~ \pi є \rho i ~ т \hat{\omega \nu}$





 Өєтойvтí тє каі кода́ध,







 тoú tıs кaì ó Mé $\lambda \eta t o s ~ o u ̂ t o s ~ \sigma e ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ o u ̉ \delta e ̀ ~ \delta o к є ̂ ̂ ~ o ́ p a ̂ \nu, ~$









 ả ${ }^{\prime}$ óбı๐ $;$





 то仑 vó $\mu$ ov őtı ov゙т







 є́vavtía $\lambda \in ́ y o v \sigma \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \epsilon ่ \mu о \hat{v}$.



 в таи̂та $\xi v \nu \delta о к \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{\iota} \in \hat{u} \epsilon i ̉ \delta o ́ t \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau о \iota о и ́ т \omega \nu, ~ \dot{a} \nu a ́ \gamma к \eta$


 oüt $\omega$ s yєyovéval；
 à oi mo入入oì ov̉к иै $\sigma a \sigma \iota \nu$ ．
 $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda о u s, ~ к а i ̀ ~ є ้ \chi \theta p a s ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ \delta \epsilon \iota v a ̀ s ~ к а i ~ \mu a ́ \chi a s ~$




 $\phi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ єîvaı，尺̂̀ Ev̉Өúфpov；












10 ETE, Kaì yàp eै $̄, \tau \iota$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Мє́ $\mu \nu \eta \sigma a \iota ~ o v ̂ \nu$, öть oủ тои̂тó $\sigma o \iota ~ \delta \iota є \kappa є \lambda є v o ́ \mu \eta \nu$,





Ere. "E ${ }^{\prime} \omega \gamma \epsilon$.

 aủ $\hat{\eta}$ тара
 $\mu \grave{\eta} \phi \hat{\omega}$.
 $\sigma o \iota \phi \rho \dot{a} \sigma \omega$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. 'A $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ ßoú $\lambda о \mu a i ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon$.




 30 é $\sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{a} \lambda \eta \eta \hat{\eta}$ à $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$.

Ere. Пávu $\mu$ èv oủv.

7 VIII．$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Фє́pє $\delta \eta$ ，є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa \in \psi \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ，тí $\lambda \in ́ \gamma о \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．тò 8


 ои゙т $\omega \varsigma$ єipŋттai；

ミ̇ $\Omega$ ．Kai є $\dot{v} \gamma \in \phi a i \nu \in \tau a \iota ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$.


 тоîs тоòs à $\lambda \lambda \eta$ そ̇доus，каi тои̂то єїрŋтаи；

Eイ®．Еípŋтаı үáp．




 с то८oút $\omega \nu$ т тa $\chi$ v̀ à $\nu \dot{a} \pi a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \epsilon \hat{\iota} \mu \in \nu$ ；

Е؟ఆ．Пávv үє．

 à $\nu$ т $\eta \varsigma$ ठıaфорâs；

Е؟丹．＂Ебтı таиิта．

 ä ${ }^{2}$

E؟ఆ．Пิ̂s $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ oư；







 тávтєऽ；
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ ．
 40 фє́роитal，סıà тaûтa סıaфє́poıvt＇वै้ ；




才áp；


 $50 \mu \iota \sigma o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ ；

Еగఆ．Пávv үє．



55 ET丹．ОüT



Е؟Ө．＂Еоィке．
 60 Eủ $\theta \dot{v} \phi \rho \circ \nu$ ，тои́т $\omega$ т $\hat{\omega}$ र̇óv $\omega$ ．

Е〒丹．Kivঠขขєи́єц．

 $\tau \epsilon \kappa a i ~ a ̉ \nu o ́ \sigma \iota o \nu, ~ o ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~ c ̀ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon o \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon ̀ s ~ ग ु, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon о \mu \iota \sigma \epsilon ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu$,




 каї є́кєívoıs катà таи̇та́.








 бікทข.
 ó $\mu$ о $\lambda o \gamma o v ̂ \nu t \epsilon \varsigma ~ o ̈ \mu \omega s ~ o u ̉ ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \phi a \sigma \iota ~ \sigma \phi a ̂ s ~ \delta ı \delta o ́ v a \iota ~ \delta i ́ k \eta \nu ~ ; ~$



 ảठıкєîv. $\hat{\eta}$ үáp;

ETఆ. 'А $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \iota \iota$.




ET®. 'А $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \iota$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Ои̉коиิข av̉тá $\gamma \epsilon \tau а и ิ \tau a ~ к а і ~ о i ~ \theta \epsilon o i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu \theta a \sigma \iota \nu$,



 кои̂̀tィ ठотє́on סікŋр.
 $\kappa \in \phi a ́ \lambda a \iota o \nu$.





45 ETe. Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$.




 $\delta \in \sigma \mu a ́, \pi \rho i \nu \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \xi v \nu \delta \eta \sigma a \nu \tau a \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$








$\Sigma \Omega$. МауӨáv.

 $\sigma \iota \nu$.














 oi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \phi \iota \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, oi $\delta \in ̀ ~ \mu \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$, oú $\delta \in ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a$ $\hat{\eta}$ á $\mu \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a$;
 тoû à ${ }^{2}$ oriou:


 ¿ँ $\pi \in \sigma \quad \chi$ ои.


















 ét $\epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ тò $\phi \iota \lambda o u ̂ \nu ;$

Eऽఆ. Пิ̂s خà o oủ;
$\sum \Omega$. $\Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \delta \eta \eta^{\mu} \mu$, то́тєроข тò фєро́ $\mu \in \nu о \nu, \delta \iota о ́ т \iota ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon-~ в ~$


 $\mu \in \nu о \nu, \delta \iota o ́ \tau \iota$ о́рâtaь;

Е؟ఆ. Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$.

 ov̉סє̀ $\delta \iota o ́ t \iota ~ a ̉ y o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o ́ v ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \tau \iota \nu, ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau о v ̂ \tau o ~ a ̆ y \in \tau a \iota, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \delta \iota o ́ т \iota ~$








Еน $\Theta$. "E $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$.
 ぞ $\pi a ́ \sigma \chi 0 \nu ~ \tau \iota ~ ن ́ \pi o ́ ~ \tau o v ; ~$

10 E欠అ．Пávv үє．




Е؟ఆ．＇Аขа́үкך．



Ere．Nal．

E؟ $\Theta$ ．Oüк，ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau о и ̂ \tau о . ~$
 фı入єîtal，סıà тoûto ő́бוóv є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ；

Eケఆ．＂Еоькєข．



ET $\Theta$ ．Has $\gamma$ à oư；

 тoútov．


 єỉval $\hat{\eta}$ rúp；

ET $\Theta$ ．Naí．

 $\theta \in o \phi i \lambda$ és，סıà тои̂тo фı $\lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a t$ ．

Ere．＇A $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \in \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \iota s$.



















 25 aữó.
 єîvaı $\Delta a \iota \delta a ́ \lambda o v ~ \tau a ̀ ~ v ́ \pi o ̀ ~ o ~ \sigma o ̂ ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a . ~ к a i ̀ ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ a u ̀ \tau a ̀ ~ C ~$




 ஸ́s каі̀ aủtê $\sigma$ о九 ठокєi.















 єîval $\pi \hat{a} \nu$ tò ö öஎ七ข.

ET $\Theta$. " ${ }^{\prime} \mu \circ \iota \gamma \epsilon$.









 є́ $\phi \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \cup \sigma \in \nu$,
 aioćs.

$\mathrm{E} \mathrm{\Upsilon} \Theta$. Пávv $\gamma є$.


 70 таи̂та à $\delta \in \delta i ́ a \sigma \iota \nu . ~ о \cup ̉ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma о \grave{~ \delta о к є \imath ̂ ; ~}$

Еగఆ. Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$.








 Sè $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau o ́ \nu, ~ \not ้ \nu \theta a ~ к а i ~ a ̉ \rho \iota \theta \mu o ́ s . ~ Є ̈ \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ \pi o v ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon ; ~$

Еగఆ. Пá $\nu v \gamma є$,



 бокєі̂;






 ठокє̂́ $\sigma 0$;

## Е؟ఆ. "Ецогує.




 каі тà $\mu \dot{\prime}$.


 тò $\lambda o \iota \pi o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \delta \iota к а i ́ o u ~ \mu \epsilon ́ p o s . ~$


 $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma \quad \gamma \epsilon$ ，oîaíтєр каì ai $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau a ̀ ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda a ~ \theta \epsilon р а \pi \epsilon i a i ́ ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota \iota$,

 ท̂ үáp ；

Е؟丹．Пávv $\gamma є$ ．

E؟丹．Naí．
 o кvขך $\gamma \in \tau \iota \kappa$ о́s．

Е؟ఆ．О $้ \tau \omega \varsigma$.

в E〒E．Naí．

Е؟ఆ．Пávv $\gamma є$.
 Eu̇Өv́фроข ；oűt $\omega$ 入є́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota$ ；

ЕХ丹．＂E $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ ．



 ov̉ ठокоvิनi бo८；

## 15 Е؟Є. "Е $\mu$ оь $\gamma$.



 30 єi้ขaย ;

ETE. Mà $\Delta \iota^{\prime \prime}$ ои̉к єै $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$.

$\mathrm{E} \Upsilon \Theta . \Pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \delta^{\prime}$ ouै;
 ${ }_{35} \lambda i ́ a \tau \epsilon ́ \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \iota ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i ́ o u s ~ \tau о u ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon o u ̀ s ~ \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath े ; ~ к а і ~}$
 $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \omega$ тivà т $\omega \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ã $\pi \epsilon \rho \gamma a ̆ \zeta \in \iota$;


 $\mu \eta \nu$, тíva тотè $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \iota s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, oủX D ท̀ $\gamma о$ ú $\mu \in \nu$ ós $\sigma \epsilon$ то८аи́т $\eta \nu \lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.
 $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$.
 óбוótクs;
 $\theta \in \rho a \pi \epsilon$ v́ovбıข.

E؟®. Пávv $\mu$ ย̀v ov̂ข.

 oủk єis íyıєías ойєє;

E؟丹. "E ${ }^{\prime} \omega \gamma \epsilon$.
 ảтєрүабíaע ن $\pi \eta \rho \in \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ '̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu ;$


Eヘ $\Theta$ ．Nai．
 тivos єैp
 єỉó́vaı à $\nu \theta \rho \omega ̈ \pi \omega \nu$ ．


 $\chi р \omega ́ \mu є \nu о \iota$ ；

$14 \Sigma \Omega$ ．Kaì زàp oi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i$, ，$\grave{\omega}$ фì $\epsilon^{\prime}$ à $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ö $\mu \omega \varsigma$ тò



E؟丹．$\Pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \delta^{\prime}$ oü ；
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Под入à $\delta$＇́ $\gamma$ ’ оîдає каì калà каì оi $\gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma о i$.
 $\hat{\eta} \epsilon \in \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta{ }^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{E} \mathrm{\Upsilon} \mathrm{\Theta}. \mathrm{Пávu} \mathrm{\gamma є}$.
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Tí $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ $\delta \dot{\eta} ; ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \kappa a \lambda \omega \nu$ à oi $\theta \in o \grave{\imath}$





 тà tolaûta toús $\tau \in$ ioious oi้kous кaì тà кotvà $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$









 $\tau \in \kappa a i ̀ 兀 \not \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota ;$
10 ET $\Theta$. " Еүш ${ }^{2}$.
 $\epsilon \cup ้ \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ aủтєî̀ тov̀s $\theta \epsilon \circ$ и́s ;







 ETE. "E ${ }^{\prime} \omega \gamma \epsilon$.



Er®. ${ }^{\wedge} \wedge \lambda \lambda a \tau i$;


 т $\omega$ таи̂та ${ }^{\circ} \nu$ oủסèv $\delta \in i ̂ \tau a \iota . ~$











 oủ $\delta$ év ;


 $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu}$ ठ $\hat{\omega} \rho a$ тoîs $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s$;





Eగఆ. Oî $\mu a \iota$ єै $\gamma \omega \gamma є \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \gamma є \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ фìخov.
 $\theta$ єois фìnov.

Ere. Má入ıбтú $\gamma \epsilon$.
 oi $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota ~ \phi a i ̀ \omega \nu \tau a \iota ~ \mu \grave{~} \mu \in ́ \nu o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \beta a \delta i \zeta o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, ~$


 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega \nu} \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon i s \tau a u ̉ t o ̀ \nu ~ \eta ̈ \kappa \epsilon \iota ; ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \nu \eta \sigma a \iota$

 ท̀ oủ $\mu$ é $\mu \nu \eta \sigma a \iota$;

Ere. "E $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ є.

 ท̀ oủ;

Eヘఆ. Пávv үє.
 $\kappa a \lambda \omega ิ \varsigma, \nu v ̂ \nu$ ои̉к òp $\theta \hat{\omega} \varsigma \tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \in \theta a$.

ETE. "Еоике⿱.

 $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda \iota a ́ \sigma \omega$. $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \mu \dot{\prime} \quad \mu \epsilon \dot{a} \tau \iota \mu a ́ \sigma \eta \eta S, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀$ $\pi a \nu \tau i ̀ D$









 aủ̃ò $\begin{aligned} \eta & \epsilon \text { î. }\end{aligned}$










## NOTES.

The references in the Notes are to chapters and lines of the Text.
Cp. $=$ compare. Sc. (scilicet $=$ understand or supply.
$\kappa . \tau . \lambda_{0}=\kappa \alpha i \not \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{0 ı \pi}=$ et cetera. Lit. $=$ literally.
An obelus ( $\dagger$ ) denotes that the reading of the Text is uncertain.
Ch. 1-3.-Euthyphro meets Socrates outside the court of the King Archon, and learns that MFeletus has prosecuted him for corvupting the young and making innorations in religion. Euthyphro compluins that his prophecies are ridiculed by the people; Socrates, however, is not afruid of vidicule, but fears that the people may be too much in earnest.

1. 2. vєف́тєpov: an instance of the "absolute" use of the comparative degree, i.e. the standard of comparison is neither expressed nor distinctly implied. Here the meaning" is "new" or "strange" as opposed to "old," rather than "newer" as opposed to "new." öт८... $\sigma \tau$ oáv: this clause is explanatory of $\nu \in \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$.
1. $\Lambda$ vкєi $\omega$ : the Lyceum was a gymnasium in the eastern suburbs of Athens, founded by Pericles, so called from the neighbouring temple of Apollo Lyceius. It was a favourite resort of Socrates; Aristotle afterwards taught there.
 archons elected annually, and had jurisdiction in certain cases involving religion. 'The porch or colonnade containing the court of the $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ ús was situated in the Agora or market place.
2. Sikn: this is the general term for a law-case at Athens. Cases were divided into two classes: (a) oikal in the narrower sense, "private suits," (b) rpaфai, "public prosecutions." In the latter the state was deemen to have sulfered injury as well as the individual who prosecuted. ô̂бa rvyxávet: $\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu \omega$, in the sense of "to happen," is regularly constructed with the participle (not with the infinitive). It gencrally implies coincilence, as here, " you have not a case just when I have." trpòs tòv ßaocinéa: $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime}$ s with the accusative is used of the tribunal before which a case is brought.
3. 'Aөpratos: names of peoples are often used without the article. бíкךи : it was a $\delta i \kappa \eta$ in the wider sense, but not in the narrower ; cp.

1． $4, n$ ．aủtท́v：we might have expected aủró，the meaning being ＂my business＂；but the pronoun is assimilated in gender to the complement $\delta\langle\kappa \eta \nu$.
 draw up an indictment，＂and is constructed with an internal accusative（ $\gamma \rho a \phi \quad \eta \nu$ ）and an external $(\sigma \epsilon)$ ．

8．Ékєîvo：this is explained by the following clause és $\sigma \dot{\nu}$ è $\tau \in p o \nu$ （sc． $\begin{aligned} & \text { モ́ } \gamma \rho a \psi a \iota) . ~\end{aligned}$
9．oú $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ oûv：$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ in an answer implies the ellipsis of＂yes＂or ＂no，＂and introduces the reason assigned for the affirmation or denial．Here the meaning is＂（You will not consider me guilty，）for I have not indicted any one．＂oûv is intensive，＂certainly．＂

13．oú $\delta$＇aútòs $\pi$ ávv $\tau \iota$ ：the adverbial accusative $\tau \iota$ is often added to oú $\pi$ ávv without appreciably affecting the sense．oú $\pi a ́ v v$ is here ＂not quite，＂＂not thoroughly＂；often it is used for＂not at all．＂

14．véos ．．．vıs：the indefinite $\tau \iota s$ is used to diminish or increase the force of an adjective；translate，＂rather young．＂

15．Є̇ $\gamma \underset{\omega}{\mu \mu a \iota: ~ c r a s i s ~ f o r ~ \epsilon ̇ \gamma \grave{\omega} ~ o i ̄ \mu \alpha \iota . ~ M e ́ \lambda \eta \tau o v: ~ s e e ~ I n t r o d u c t i o n, ~}$ § 5．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \delta_{\mu} \mu \omega \nu$ חıt日єús：Attica was divided into townships called $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu o \iota$ ，and an Athenian citizen was legrally described by his own name， his father＇s，and the name of his native township ；e．g．$\Delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta$ s $\Delta \eta \mu o \sigma \theta$ évous Malavcés，＂Demosthenes（the son）of Demosthenes，of the township of Paeania．＂＇Ihe use of the genitive plural $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\delta} \eta_{\mu} \omega \nu$ is apparently a survival from early times when $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{os}$ was used in the sense of $\delta \eta \mu \delta \tau \eta s$ ；the literal meaning would thus be，＂among tne people of the townships（partitive genitive）a Pitthean．＂The town－ ship of Pitthus（or Pithus）lay between Athens and MIt．Pentelicus．
 （ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ ），lit．＂such as a man with long straight hair is．＂

20．$\eta$ vitva：when a speaker repeats the question of another before answering it，the indirect form of the interrogative pronoun or adverb is regularly used ；this may be explained by the ellipsis of $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau$ âs， e．g．＂（do you ask）what charge（he has brought against me）？＂

 to the whole accusative and infinitive phrase；lit．＂for the fact that he，young as he is，understands so important a matter is not trifling．＂The perfect є่ $\gamma \boldsymbol{\prime} \boldsymbol{\omega \kappa}$ ќval means＂to have got to know，＂＂to understand，＂like Lat．novisse．

22．tiva трótov：adverbial accusative．In a dependent question either $\tau$ is or öotis may be used．Sca申日eipoutau：＂are corrupted （morally）．＂
 The primary meaning of kıvòvvєúv is＂to run a risk．＂бoфós $\tau \iota s$ ：
 participle agrees with $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{o} \hat{\text { ，}}$ ，the genitive of the personal pronoun， implied in the possessive adjective $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ ．The use of $\dot{\text { w }}$ indicates that the participle expresses the thought of the subject of the sentence （which may or may not be also the thought of the writer or speaker）．
26. кaтๆүор $\eta \sigma \omega \nu$ щov: the future participle here expresses purpose. Verbs of accusing compounded with кavá are constructed with a genitive of the person; the charge, if expressed, would be in the accusative.
 äp $\quad \in \sigma \theta a t$ : the middle voice is used of beginning an action which is continued by oneself, the active when the action is continued by
 roû $\lambda$ órou, "to begin the conversation."
28. ojp $\hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ रáp $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \tau$ : the subject of $\epsilon \sigma \tau i$ is ( $\tau \grave{\delta}$ ) $\dot{\delta} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s$ ( $\dot{p} \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a l$ ), the infinitive being supplied from the preceding clause. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \nu^{\prime} \omega \nu$
 take care" are usually constructed with öm $\pi$ s and the future indicative; they may also have an objective genitive. Here, as often, the two constructions are combined, lit. "to take care of the young how they shall be as good as possible," i.e. "to take care that the young shall be as grood as possible." A substantive which in English is the subject of a dependent clause is frequently in Greek the object of the principal sentence; cp. o oidd $\sigma \epsilon$ ö $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \tau$, "I know (thee) who thou art." $\delta_{o} \tau \ell$ is adverbial accusative, equivalent to $\dot{\omega} s$, and is used with the superlative to denote the highest possible degree.
30. єiкós: sc. є̇oтı, "it is natural."
31. kai $\delta \eta$ кai: this combination of particles introduces the appli-
 particular case of Meletus.
 (development) of the young"; or the genitive may be taken as explanatory, "the young shoots, viz. the youths."
33. ${ }^{\prime} \pi \pi \epsilon \tau a$ : this adverb is generally used without $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ in opposition to $\pi \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$.
34. $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o v$ ö $\delta \iota$ : "(it is) clear that." The two words are sometimes written as one and treated as an adverb, "clearly."
 English the subject and predicate would be inverted, "at least as the result is probable," i.e. "as will probably be the result."
2. 1. $\beta o v \lambda o f \mu \eta \nu a ̈ \nu$ : the potential optative with $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$ makes a mild assertion; "I could wish (that this were so)."
 " literally." Distinguish d $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \omega s$, " without art, "inartistically." $\dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime}$ "Eorias "pX $\rho \sigma=\theta a t$ : Hestia (Lat. Vesta) was the goddess of the hearth ; and each city had its public hearth (at Athens it was in the Prytancium), on which the sacred fire perpetually burned. This was regarded as the central point of the city, and so "to begin at Hestia" is "to begin at the vital part."
3. какоируєiv: verbs of beginning, e.g. đ̈ $\rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \varepsilon$, are usually constructed with a participle; here the infinitive is preferred because a participle, é $\pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, follows.
4. кai $\mu$ о $\lambda \epsilon \notin \epsilon$ : кai before an imperative may be rendered "pray."
 (rreek often combining an interrogative with a participle. Render, "What can it be that he says you do to corrupt the young?"
6. äтотa: object of $\pi$ тooûv $\tau a$ understood. ©́s oú $\tau \omega \gamma^{\prime}$ ákov̂नal: the infinitive may be used "absolutely," with or without $\dot{\omega}$, to express a limitation. oür $\omega$ is common in the sense of "offhand," "at the first glance." Translate, "His charges are ridiculous, on the first hearing."
8. vopi\}оvта: $\nu 0 \mu i \xi \omega$ means "to have in common use" (c.g. current coin), and hence, as applied to the gods, "to beliere in" their existence.
10. ठ'т $\delta$ § : this clause gives the reason for the statement in the preceding sentence, $\phi \eta \sigma i \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. тò $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ v \iota o v:$ Socrates asserted that from childhood he had intimations given to him by a "divine voice," which never urged him to any course of action, but often held him back. He may have been subject to an hallucination of the sense of hearing, but more probably the expression is purely figurative, and means no more than the voice of conscience.
11. каlvoтоноиิvтоs $\sigma 0 v$ : genitive absolute. The metaphor is from cutting a new road.
15. ő $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \iota \lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \omega$ : the subjunctive is used in temporal or relative sentences expressing repeated action in the present or future, $\alpha \nu \nu$ being attached to the adverb of time or relative pronoun. éкк $\lambda \eta \sigma$ ia : the Assembly, composed of all Athenian citizens over twenty years of age.
16. тà $\mu e ́ \lambda \lambda 0 \nu \tau \alpha: " f u t u r e ~ e v e n t s . " ~$
17. $\hat{\omega} \nu$ : by assimilation for roút $\omega \nu$ ä, where $\tau 0 \dot{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ is partitive genitive depending on oúסÉv.
 come to close quarters," lit. "to go the same place (as another)." $\dot{\delta} \mu \delta \delta^{\prime}$ in Homer is equivalent to $\dot{\delta}$ autós, and the suffix $-\sigma \epsilon$ indicates the goal of motion.
 lefore the vocative; here it is displaced for the sake of emphasis. to $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ катayє $\lambda a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} v a l$ : there is no corresponding clause introduced by $\delta \bar{\epsilon}$, but this can be easily supplied in thought-" "it is not serious to be laughed at (but it is serious to be prosecuted)."
2. oủठ̇̀v $\pi \rho \bar{\gamma} y \mu \alpha$ : "(is) of no importance."
 of course equivalent to $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu}$ (distinguish the particle $\dot{\alpha} \nu$, with $\alpha$ short). $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu$ with the subjunctive is regularly used in the protasis of a "general" conditional sentence in primary sequence, i.e. when "if" $=$ "if ever." $\mu \eta$ ो $\mu \dot{\epsilon} v \tau o l:$ the negative is $\mu \dot{\eta}$ because it occurs in the protasis of a conditional sentence.
 The meaning" of oodia is "skill" in any department, not neces-
 antecedent to öv, if expressed, would he roúrw (dative depending on $\theta \nu \mu$ и̂̀та兀.
5. toloúrous: i.e. $\delta \in \iota \nu 0 u{ }^{\prime}$. This use of roloûtos to avoid the repetition of an adjective is extremely common. єl' $\tau$ o ov̂v . . . єl't alternative conditions are introduced by єïт . . . єiтє (Lat. sive . . sive), the intensive oiv being often used in one of the clauses with the force of "it may be."
 A dissyllabic preposition (with certain exceptions), when placed after its substantive or pronoun, is accented on the first syllable; in Attic prose $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ is the only preposition that can stand in this position. ö $\pi \omega$ s
 After interrogative pronouns and adverbs the enclitic $\pi 0 \tau \xi$, "ever," is
 $0 \pi \omega \mathrm{~s}^{\text {é }} \chi$ оuбו $=$ "how they are disposed," "what their feelings are"; for the intransitive use of $\epsilon \chi \omega$ with an adverb, cp. кал $\bar{\omega} s \in \chi \in \iota$, "it is well."
9. $\sigma \pi a ́ v \iota o v ~ \sigma \epsilon a u t o ̀ v ~ \pi a p e ́ X \epsilon \iota \nu: ~ " t o ~ s h o w ~ y o u r s e l f ~ s e l d o m . " ~ " ~$
10. oúk é $\theta$ '́̀ $\bar{\prime}$ เv: the negative with the infinitive, except in indirect speech, is regularly $\mu \dot{\eta}$. Here, however, ouk $\dot{\epsilon}^{\dot{\epsilon}} \theta \in \lambda \omega$ is treated as one word, "to be reluctant," and so the negative remains ou with all parts of the verb. Similarly oư $\phi \eta \mu \iota=n e g o$, оэк $\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\omega}=$ "I forbid."
11. "\% $\tau i \pi \epsilon \rho$ " $\mathrm{X} \omega$ : the force of $\pi \epsilon \rho$ is " $j u s t$ whatever I have."
12. $\boldsymbol{a}^{\boldsymbol{v}} \in \mathrm{u} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \sigma \theta 0 \hat{v}$ : Socrates, unlike the Sophists, would take no fee from his disciples.
13. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau i \theta \epsilon i s ~ d \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \in \omega$ : with $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \tau i \theta \epsilon$ is supply $\mu / \sigma \theta \delta \nu$. The present participle with $\not \approx \nu$ here represents the present optative with $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$, for the independent form of the conditional sentence would be $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \iota \theta \in i \eta \nu \mathrm{~d} \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega s, \epsilon^{2} \tau \iota s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. "I ,would gladly pay for the privilege, if any one would listen to me." The participial clause is parallel to the phrase $\dot{d} \nu \in v \mu i \sigma \theta o v$, " not only without fee, but prepared to pay gladly if . . ."
 $\gamma \in \lambda \hat{\omega} v \tau a s:$ these participles agree with aúroús, the unexpressed subject of the infinitive $\delta<a \gamma a \gamma \epsilon i v$.
 more vividly realised condition than $\varepsilon l$ with the optative, which was used in the preceding sentence.
 $\ddot{\alpha} \delta \partial \eta \lambda_{0 \nu}(\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i)$. The subject $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau$ is placed before the interrogative
 force is " when matters have reached that stage."
 sc. $\delta \ell \kappa \eta \nu \dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu \iota \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a l$. When the subject of an infinitive is the same as that of the verb on which it depends, it is regularly omitted, unless it is emphatic, in which case it is represented by aúrós, "self "; so here we might have expected otuaı $\hat{\delta} \dot{\epsilon}$ кal aúzòs $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$. Sometimes, however, the accusative is used. кal $\epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon}$ balances $\sigma \dot{v} \tau \varepsilon$ in the preceding clause ; $\delta \hat{\text { c connects the two clauses. }}$

Ch. 4, 5. - Euthyphro announces that he is prosecuting his oun father for murder, and gives particular's of the case. He insists that the duty of prosecuting is the same whether the victim is a relative or not, and is confudent that he is not acting impionsly. Socrates thinks that, in view of the charge brought against him by Meletus, he camot do better than become a pupil of Euthyphro: Meletus must then cither drop the prosecition altogether or substitute Euthyphro as defendant. Socrates askis for a definition of holiness and unholiness.
 be a defendant," and serves as the passive of $\delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \kappa \kappa \omega$, "to be plaintiff."

4. тiva: masculine, accusative of the external object with oь $\omega$ кєє understood.
6. $\pi \in \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ óv $\tau \iota \nu a \delta \iota \omega$ кєєเs: Socrates is here playing on the technical and the ordinary meaning of $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega$. The proverbial expression $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\pi \epsilon \tau \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a$ бьढ́кєєข answered to our "wild-goose chase."
7. $\pi \mathbf{o} \lambda \lambda o \hat{v} \gamma \in \delta \in \hat{\imath} \pi \epsilon \in \tau \in \sigma \theta a l: ~ " h e ~ i s ~ f a r ~ f r o m ~ h a r i n g ~ w i n g s, " ~ l i t . ~$ "he lacks much to fly." Verbs of lacking are used with a genitive of material. oठ $\gamma \in$ : the relative with $\gamma \in$ introduces a causal clause, like Lat. qui or quippe qui with the subjunctive; translate, " because he
11. $\widehat{\omega} \beta \in ́ \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ : a common mode of address, "my dear sir!"
12. $\pi a ́ v v \mu \hat{\epsilon} \hat{v}$ oûv: "yes, certainly." oûv is here intensive.
13. $\tau$ lvos $\dot{\eta} \delta i \kappa \eta$ : $\tau \ell \nu$ os is neuter, the genitive with $\delta i \kappa \eta$ denoting the subject-matter of the charge (as in the answer, $\phi$ óvou).
15. 'Hрák $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathrm{t} \text { เs: }}$ this vocative is often used as an expression of amazement; originally no doubt it was an appeal to Heracles for help. $\hat{\eta} \pi 0 v: \hat{\eta}$ is the intensive particle, and $\pi 0 v$ is "I suppose."

 $\dot{v o ̀ \nu}$ (cp. 4, 45, n.) $\pi a \tau \rho \ell$; for otherwise ${ }^{\rho} \rho \theta \bar{\omega} s$ e $\epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota$ has no subject, and there is nothing to which avisó in the next sentence can refer.
17. то仑̂ छ̇т८тvxóvros: sc. єival; the genitive is predicative. Translate, "I don't think it is the part of any chance person to do it
 already far advanced in wisdom." oo申ias is partitive genitive depending on the adverbs $\pi$ ó $\rho \rho \omega$ mov ; é $\lambda$ aúvov $\quad$ os is used intransitively.
19. $\mu \mathbf{f} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \mathrm{ol}:$ this particle has two chief uses, (a) asseverative, " certainly," as here, (b) adversative, "however," as in 3, 4.
20. т $\bar{\nu} \nu$ оiкєí $\omega \nu$ : the term оікєios includes household-slaves as well as relatives. In cases of murder, manslaughter, and wounding with intent to kill, Attic law restricted the right of prosecution to the next-of-kin of the victim (or, if he were a slare, to his master). As the man killed was in this case neither Euthyphro's relative nor his slave, it would appear that Euthyphro had no legal status in the case.
 $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \kappa \tau \epsilon l \nu \omega$, and is constructed with $\dot{u} \pi \dot{6}$ and the genitive expressing the agent. In Attic the perfect is always $\tau \in \theta \nu \eta \kappa \alpha$, not $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa a$.
21. $\hat{\eta} \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda a \delta \eta$ : " of course he must be," lit. "or (is it not) obvious (that he is one of your household)?" ${ }^{3}$ is used, like Latin an, to introduce a question which implies the needlessness of a previous question. In a predicate the neuter plural is often used instead of the neuter singular, when the subject (as here) is a clause.
 (except those compounded with кaтá; cp. 1, 26, n.) are constructed with a causal genitive denoting the charge; the person is here expressed by the dative, because the verb is compounded with $\epsilon \pi i$.
24. єौ'Tє . . . єitc: here, and in the next line, these particles introduce the two alternatives of a dependent question (Lat. utrum . . . an); contrast $3,5, n$.

26. éávTєค: "that is, if . . . " "introducing a limitation.
 When $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$ thus gives the reason for a suppressed clause, its force may often be given by "and yet." тє $\lambda^{\prime} \tau \eta s$ : a free labourer, not a slave.
 of islands in the Aegean. It revolted from the Athenian league (confederacy of Delos) in 472 B.C., but was soon reduced to subjection, and partly occupied by Athenian settlers ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{\chi} \chi \circ \iota$ ). At the close of the Peloponnesian war, 404 B.C., all such settlements were given up.
35. тov̂ $\epsilon \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau 0 v ิ$ : genitive of source with $\pi \epsilon v \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$. At Athens there were three $\bar{\xi} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau a l$, "Interpreters," whose functions were to interpret oracles and omens, and to prescribe the means of purification from bloodguiltiness. $\dagger$ § $\pi \iota$ xpein $\pi$ oteiv: the optative may be used in a dependent question when the principal verb (as here $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$ ) is historic present. Some MSS., howerer, have the indicative $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$.
37. ©́s . . . oú $\delta \grave{\varepsilon} v$ òv $\pi \rho a \hat{\gamma \mu a}$ : "in the belief that it was of no importance." The accusative absolute is used in the case of the participles of impersonal verbs (e.g. $\left.\epsilon_{\xi} \dot{\sigma} \nu\right)$, passive participles used impersonally (e.g. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu o \nu$, "orders having been given"), and neuter adjectives or suhstantives with öv, $\epsilon i$ kal ảmo日ávol: $\epsilon i$ кal $=$ "although." With this protasis we should expect to have in the apodosis
 form) ; instead of this we have the more vivid form without $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$ (corresponding to oỉס'้̇ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a)$.
 $\pi \rho l \nu$ when the principal sentence contains no negative.
40. тav̂тa: adrerbial accusative, "therefore," as often in Plato.
 as possible," i.c. "if it were never so true that he had killed him."
44. oủ $\delta \in i \hat{v}$ : the negative merely repeats oürє in 1. 43, although normally a simple negative following a compound negative cancels the latter. The infinitive $\delta \epsilon i v$ is used as though $\phi a \sigma i \nu$ were the principal verb of the sentence; strictly we should have the participle déov (accusative absolute), parallel to $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \tau \epsilon(\nu a \nu \tau \iota$. Some editors alter to $\delta \epsilon \in \nu$, and others regard $\delta \in i \nu \nu$ as a contracted form of the participle $\delta \in i o \nu$ (from an assumed form $\delta \epsilon i \omega$, equal to $\delta \epsilon \in \omega$ ).
45. ávóvtov $\gamma$ àp eival: sc. фaбi. voóv: v́ós, not víos, scems to have been the usual spelling in Plato's time.

48. $\pi \rho$ òs $\Delta$ tós: $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$ with the genitive is used in ontreaties and adjurations.
 usually constructed with $\mu \eta$, "lest"; but sometimes örros $\mu \eta$ ' is found, on the analogy of final clanses. Sıкa'ópsvos $\tau \hat{\omega} \pi a \tau \rho \ell$ : the active $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \dot{\xi} \epsilon \iota \nu$, "to give judgment," is said of the judges; the middle $\delta \iota \kappa \dot{a} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, "to get judgment given," of the parties to a case.
54. $\tau \omega:$ equivalent to $\tau \iota \nu$, dative denoting measure of difference. $\tau \omega \hat{\nu} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ : genitive of the standard of comparison, depending on $\delta \iota a \phi \notin \rho o l$.
55. $\epsilon \delta \in \mathfrak{i} \ell \eta v$ : note the change from the 3rd to the 1st person.
5.1. âpa: this particle is used in questions without implying either an affirmative or a negative answer, but the context here shows that the former is expected.
3. $\pi \rho \circ к а \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta$ Oat : a plaintiff or defendant might "challenge" his opponent to do some particular thing in order to settle a disputed point; and if the challenge ( $\pi \rho \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \tau s$ ) was declined, evidence of the refusal could be given in court with the view of creating a prejudice against the party who declined. aúrà raûra is accusative of the internal object, aútov of the external object. $\lambda \in \mathfrak{\gamma} \gamma \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{a} a$ : this a grees with the unexpressed subject ( $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\epsilon}$ ) of the infinitive $\pi$ рокалєío $\sigma a r$. The dative might hare been used, in agreement with $\mu_{0}$ in line 1.
4. $\pi \epsilon \rho!\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \circ \hat{\text { é }} \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \circ เ \circ \tilde{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$ : "I considered it of great importance." $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ originally meant "above," "exceeding."

7. $\mu a \theta \eta r \grave{\eta} s \delta_{\eta} \gamma^{\epsilon} \gamma \quad \mathrm{va}$ a $\sigma$ ós: $\delta \dot{\eta}$ marks the statement as ironical. kal $\epsilon \frac{l}{\prime} \mu^{\prime} v$ : at this point the indirect statement introduced by ört (1.4) is dropped, and the quotation of the actual words begins.
9. Tà rolav̂тa: accusative of specification mith $\sigma 0 \phi o ́ v$. obp $\theta \hat{\omega} s$ $\nu о \mu$ 亿̧єाv: "to be orthodox"; ср. 2, $8, n$.
 action"; for the order in which cases should come on was decided by lots drawn by the several plaintiffs.
15. $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \in เ \nu$ : the infinitive depends on кра́тьбтóv $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$ in 1.1.
16. $\epsilon^{i}$ d $p a$ : ${ }^{\text {d }} \rho \mathrm{p}$ implies that the supposition is improbable.
 metaphor from unsound meat.
 the change in the form of the apodosis from the optative with ă $\nu$ to the aorist indicative with $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$. The latter implies the protasis $\epsilon i$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon$, "if he had attempted" (implying "he has not attempted").
22. © Mé̀ $\eta$ тos oûtos: "this creature Meletus," oûros being used contemptuously.
23. á $\sigma \in \beta \in \mathfrak{L}$ : : the genitive depends on $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta^{\prime} \nu$ understood.
25. motóv $\tau t$ : when a speaker seriously wants a definition, $\pi$ oiós $\tau \iota s$
is used; $\pi 0$ ôos alone is used in ironical questions. єưбє $\beta_{\text {és }}$ : here synonymous with öбov, though strictly öбco refers to pious feeling and $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon$ s to pious action.
27. тaủтóv . . . aủtò aútヘ̣̂: "identical," lit. "itself the same as itself," aút $\hat{\varphi}$ being dative of resemblance with raúrov. aủrós is constantly used beside the reflexive pronoun for the sake of emphasis.
28. †rov̂ $\mu \grave{v} \nu$ óolou $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \grave{s}$ évavtiov: "the opposite of all that is
 of what is holy."
29. iCéav: "form" or "nature" or "character." The word is not used here in the special sense which it bears in Plato's later works
 unholiness." Another reading is кaтà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\text { óciór } \eta \tau a, ~ " l i k e ~ h o l i n e s s . " ~}$
 tition of the subject $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu 6 \sigma \iota \nu \nu$.

Ch. 6, 7.-First definition: "Holiness is to do as I am doing, i.e. to prosecute for murder, sacrilege, etc., irrespective of relationship to the offender." This is supported by the conduct of Zeus and Cromus to their respective parents. Socrates does not believe such tales about the gods (hence his prosecution) ; Euthyphro proclains his belief in them and is eager to enlighten Socrates, but is recalled to the task of definition. As yet he has only enumerated several instances of pious conduct. He now gives his second definition: "Holiness is what the gods love, unholiness what they do not love."
 "him who does wrong by offending either in a matter of bloodshed, etc."
6. ćáv $\tau \epsilon \ldots$.. ćáv $\tau \in$ : these particles are used with the subjunctive in stating alternative conditions, in the same way as eict . . . єiँ with the indicative or optative; $\mathrm{cp} .3,5, n$.
7. órтьбoûv: "anyone whosoever." The addition of -oûv converts the relative into an indefinite pronoun.
9. тov̂ vópov: these words are put by anticipation in the principal sentence in dependence on $\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta_{\rho}^{\prime} \iota \nu \nu$, instend of $\dot{\delta} \nu \dot{o} \mu \circ$ s being subject of
 thetical, and $\mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon \pi \pi \tau \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ in 1.10 is explanatory of oüт $\omega$.
10. óp $\theta \hat{\omega}$ : to be joined with $\gamma$ crvó $\mu \in \nu a$, lit. " these matters would in this way be taking place properly."
11. autol: i.e. of their own accord, apart from the teaching of experts.
12. үáp: used, like Lat. enim, to introduce a narrative.
14. тòv aúrov $\pi a \tau \notin \rho a \delta \hat{\eta} \sigma a l:$ Cronus, the father of Zeus, knowing that he would be destroyed by one of his own children, swallowed the five eldest of his offspring (Hestia, Demeter, Hera, Hades, and Poseidon), but was deceived in the case of Zeus, the sixth; for a stone wrapped in swaddling clothes was given to him in place of the babe.

When Zeus grew up，he wrested the sovereignty of hearen from Cronus and imprisoned him in Tartarus．karé $\pi \omega v \in \nu$ ：this compound is used of gulping down solids as well as liquids．The imperfect implies repeated action．

15．кảkєîvóv $\gamma є \ldots$ ．．èkтєן $\mu$ êv：Uranus concealed his offspring by Grea in hollows of the earth as fast as they were born ；but the youngest of them，Cronus，disabled his father and reigned in his stead．

21．$\delta v \sigma \chi \in \rho \bar{\omega} s \pi \omega s$ ：$\pi \omega$ modifies the adverb just as $\tau ו s$ modifies an adjective ；cp．$\nu$ éos $\tau \iota s, 1,14, n . \delta$ ó ：this refers to the clause $\tau \dot{\alpha}$


24． $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu i v:$ i．e．myself and others like me．
25．av่тol：to be joined with ciṓval，in the sense of＂by ourselves，＂ i．e．without instruction from experts．ónohoyov̂ $\mu \in v$ ．．$\mu \eta \delta \bar{\epsilon} v$ EiCeval：the negative with the infinitive in an indirect statement is regularly ov ；but when the principal verb denotes hoping，promising． swearing，agreeing，etc．，$\mu \dot{\eta}$ is preferred．

26．tpòs фthiov：sc．Diós；Zeus was the god of friendship．is $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$ ：practically equivalent to $\dot{\alpha} \lambda_{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} s$ ，and perhaps used on the analogy of $\dot{\omega} s$ aüt $\omega \mathrm{s}$ ，the adverbial form corresponding to $\dot{\delta}$ aútós．

28．kal ．．．$\gamma є: \gamma \epsilon$ indicates assent，and кal adds a fresh point．
 of Zeus and his brethren against Cronus and the Titans，and the part taken by the gods in the Trojan war．$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ övtı：dative of manner， ＂in reality．＂
33．тоเทтติv：e．g．Homer and Hesiod．kal v́тò ．．．кara－
 should have expected каl үpáфetal $\dot{u} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．．．रpaфé $\omega \nu$ ．A new
 meaning＂as well as the sacred robe，＂mentioned in the next clause． With катaтєтоiкı $\lambda \tau \alpha \iota$ supply the internal accusative totaûta．

34．kai $\delta \grave{\eta}$ kal：introducing a climax，＂and above all．＂roîs $\mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda o s s$ Mava0 $\eta$ vaioss：the（local）dative without a preposition may denote＂time when＂in the case of names of festivals．There were two Athenian festivals，called Mava日भ⿱亠䒑口aca，in honour of the goddess Athena；one，$\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda a$ ，took place once in four years；the other $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu$ urp $\alpha$ ，was held annually．

35．o $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \lambda$ os：this was a splendid robe woven by the maidens of Athens and embroidered with neythological subjects；it was carried in procession at the Great Panathenaea，and offered at the image of Athena Polias in the Erechtheium on the Acropolis．

37．$\phi \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ ：deliberative subjunctive．
38．$\mu \eta \mu^{\mu o ́ v o \nu} \gamma \epsilon:$ sc．$\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \phi \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$（jussive subjunctive）．

7．8．àd入ù үóp：＂but as a matter of fact．＂रáp is a compound of $\gamma c$ and ${ }^{d} p a$ ，and in this phrase the force of $\gamma \in$ predominates．

13．єỉos：practically equivalent to iśa ；cp． $5,29, n$ ．ஸ̣．：causa］ dative．
16. ${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ : the emphatic form of the pronoun is frequently used to express assent.

19. тotov̂tov: i.e. resembling the $l \delta^{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \alpha$. $\hat{\omega} v$ : by assimilation for $\tau$ oúr $\omega \nu$ \& , where $\tau 0 u u^{\tau} \omega \nu$ is partitive genitive depending on 8 in the preceding clause.



Ch. 8-10.-Socrates shows that if the gods quarrel among themselies, as Euthyphro says they do, it must be about questions of morality. Therefore the same act will be loved by some gods and hated by others. Consequently holiness and unholiness are sometimes identical. Euthyphro, however, thinks that all gods are agreed that the wrongdoer ought to be punished. Quite so, says Socrates; men, too, are agreed on that point; but disputes arise as to whether a particular act is wrong. What reason is there, he asks, for believing that all gods think Euthyphro's action just and his father's unjust? Euthyphro declines to answer.
8. 1. фépє: imperative used as exclamation, "come!" $\tau i \lambda \in ́ \gamma о \mu \in \nu$ :
 is put slightly out of its proper place, hecause it cannot stand next to $\mu \epsilon \nu$.
 it being assumed that the gods hate whatever they do not love.
8. ठок : "I think so," like the impersonal סокє乞 $\mu \circ$.
9. oủkoûv: this particle is equivalent to oûv, the negative force which remains in oưkouv having disappeared in oűкoùv.
13. ópyás: the plural denotes "exhibitions of anger."
14. $\tilde{\alpha}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} v: \alpha \nu \nu$ belongs to $\pi o t o \hat{i}$ in 1. 16. This particle is often put near the beginning of a sentence and afterwards repeated in the neighbourhood of the verb.
15. о́то́тєра $\pi \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \omega$ : sc. $\epsilon$ " $\eta$, "as to which of two sets of things was the more numerous." The clause is a dependent question.
16. motoi: the commoner form in Attic is moloin. The verb is here constructed, (1) with a complementary adjective, é $\chi$ O $\rho$ oús, (2) with an infinitive, $\delta \rho \gamma i \zeta \in \sigma \theta a l$.
 Plato often omits the article with the second of two adjectives or substantives even when they refer to different things.
24. írcával: "to weigh," lit. "to place (in the balance)."
27. $\pi \omega$ जिs $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$ oú: " of course," lit. "why not?"
 tiva крiбцv in the sense of "to decision about what."

35. $\delta \tau \tau \nu \nu \gamma \gamma \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \theta a$ : sc. $\epsilon \chi \theta \rho o l$; this limiting clause is inserted because difference of opinion does not necessarily produce enmity.

FU. MEN.
 $\delta \iota a \phi \circ \rho a ́$ is subject and aüT $\eta$ predicate.
39. $\tau \mathfrak{l}$ Sé: introducing a new point, like Lat. quid? Translate, "once more."
41. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \eta$ ŋ̀ áváyк $\eta$; a common formula of assent, "undoubteclly."
 Sikala ท่ रov̂vcal:" "some think one thing just, others think another thing just," i.e. "different gods think different things just."
48. "каото完 the force of the plural is "each party of gods."
 is elided, its accent is thown on the preceding syllahle.

9. 1. ठ̈ ท̀مóu $\eta \nu$ : in 6, 1, Socrates asked for a definition of holiness and of unholiness. Instead of giving this, Euthyphro has mentioned things which are both holy and unholy.
 and to $\tau a u$ ưb $\quad$, "which, while remaining the same, is both holy and unholy." For ö the dative $\hat{\psi}$ has been suggested, roûro then meaning "that theory according to which the same thing is both holy and unholy."
4. 'o: the antecedent is roûto in the next line.


7. ${ }^{\circ}$ Hфalo ${ }^{\circ}$. . . ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ : Hephaestus (identified by the Romans with T'ulcanus) was the god of fire and of the arts, e.g. metal-working, in which fire is employed. According to one legend, his mother Hera (Juno) hated him because he was born lame, and hurled him from Olympus into the sea, where he was taken care of by two nymphs. At last he revenged himself by sending to his mother a golden chair so constructed that when she had once sat down on it she was held fast and could not rise.
 ध̇тєрси, l. 11.

11. $\dot{\omega}$ s ov $\delta \in \hat{\imath}:$ the negative in the dependent clause merely repeats the negative idea involved in the verb ( $\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ) on which it depends. It must not be rendered in English. The same construction occurs with $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta$ roûvros in 11. 14, 15, and it is common with $\dot{a} \pi a \rho \nu o u ̂ \mu a \iota$, "to deny." Often instead of $\dot{\omega}$ s or öть with oủ and a finite verb the infinitive occurs with the negative $\mu \eta$.
 and participle means to hear something with one's own ears; with the accusative and participle, to learn it by report.
 although the aurist $\dot{a} \pi о к \tau \epsilon i \nu a \nu \tau \iota$ has just preceded. The reason is that
 wrongdoer," which is the result of having done an unjust act.
16. $\mu$ èv oûv: this combination of particles introduces a modification
or correction of a previous statement; translate, "nay rather." But in $\pi a ́ v v \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad 0 \dot{v} \nu$ each of the particles has an intensive force.
17. Sikaoтทpioss: "jury-courts." All criminal trials at Athens took place betore juries much larger than ours; e.g. at the trial of Socrates the jury numbered 501.
 रovres: the present here denotes attempted action; cp. $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$, "I offer," $\pi \epsilon 1 \theta \omega$, "I urge," "try to persuade."
21. ópodoyoûvtєs : the participle makes a concession.
25. Soféov Siкпv: with the verbal adjective in - os two constructions are admissible; (a) as here, the neuter singular of the adjoctive may govern tho same case as the verb from which it is formed; (b) the adjective may agree with the substantive, e.g. $\delta 0 \tau \epsilon \in a \delta i \kappa \eta$.
30. тò $\tau i_{\text {s }}^{\text {é }} \sigma \tau \iota \nu . . . \pi$ то́тє: the article goes with the whole clause, which is treated as a substantive. With $\pi \delta \tau \epsilon$ supply $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$.
 position."
34. †ad $\lambda \lambda \lambda$ ous: with this, the MSS. reading, the clause means "some say that they are wronging one another." Some editors read ä $\lambda$ dous.
36. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\mathcal{L}} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa o \hat{v} v \tau \iota:$ the agent with the verbal adjective in $-\tau \hat{\epsilon}$ os is regularly expressed by the dative.
38. тò кєф́́入alov : adverbial accusative, "in the main."
10. 1. ${ }^{2} \theta_{l}$ : like $\ddot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon$, "come!" $\nu v \nu$ : inferential, "then" or "therefore." Distinguish $\nu \hat{v} \nu$, "at the present time."
 captor learns." $\phi \theta \iota \nu \omega$, "to be beforehand," is regularly constructed with a participle, and is often used before $\pi \rho \rho \nu$ to emphasize the notion of priority.
 against a witness for perjury ; but occasionally it is used, as here, of other crimes.
 The phrase arose in such a sentence as "you ought to do this rather than anything else," i.e. "you ought undoubtedly to do this."

14. $\begin{gathered}\text { étel : "though"; cp. 4, 30, n. }\end{gathered}$
15. ör $\sigma$ ol $\delta$ ok $\hat{\omega}$ : this clause gives the reason, not for the state-


Ch. 11-13.-Socrates waives his question, and suggests a modification of the definition: "What the gods love is holy; what some love and others hate is neither or both." This leads Euthyphro to state his third definition: "Holiness is what all gods love, what they all hate is minoly." Socrates shows that the terms "holy" and "loved-by-gods" are not convertible; for (a) holiness is loved by the gods because it is holy, but (b)
what is loved-by-gods is loved-by-gods because they love it. Moreover, Euthyphro has mentioned an attribute of holiness instead of giving a definition. Euthyphro complaining that Socrates will not let his statements alone, Socrates suggests that "Whatever is holy is right, but not all that is right is holy." The import of this is made clear by an example.
11.2. тó $\epsilon$ : this is explained by the following sentence $\epsilon i \ldots \dot{d} \boldsymbol{\nu} \sigma$ เov. бov... $\lambda$ é́ $\begin{gathered}\text { ovtos: genitive absolute. }\end{gathered}$

5. $\tau \ell$ : adverbial accusative with $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{0}$.
7. тоиิто тоे "́pyov: "this particular act," i.e. the homicide committed by Euthyphro's father; similarly aútb in 1. 9. à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \gamma$ रáp, к.т.入.: "but as a matter of fact we saw just now that this is not the criterion of holiness and unholiness." тoúte is dative of instrument with $\dot{\omega} p / \sigma \mu \dot{e} v a$, lit. "marked off by this," and may refer either to
 shown just now ") alludes to the discussion in Ch. 7 of Euthyphro's
 must be an interpolation, because it alludes to the criticism (in 8, 57)

 are consistent with one another (both alluding to the criticism of the second definition); but they are both irrelevant, for Socrates has just expressed his willingness to assume that all the gods hate this particular act; consequently they must both be rejected as interpolations.
12. є̇ $\pi a v o p \theta \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a:$ deliberative subjunctive.
 would require oúot $\epsilon \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$, but the plural is used for the sake of symmetry with $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \dot{\phi} \epsilon \rho \rho$. The addition of $\bar{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \dot{\phi} \tau \epsilon \rho a$ is illogical, for a thing cannot be both holy and unholy.
18. т̀̀ $\sigma$ óv: " your own affair."
 that way," referring to the participle preceding.
 with it?" oúros: "just as it stands," i.e. "withont further examination."
 carried."
8. ท̂: adverb, " the respect in which."
20. тoủvavtiov: accusative in apposition with the sentence, "on the contrary."
24. © ßoúdoual $\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ : "what I mean."
 second is accusative ; "if anything becomes, or is affected in any way."
 genitive because it is virtually a passive verb. $\quad \tau 0 v=\tau \iota v$ ós.
33. тоиิто : i.e. тठे ф৯入оú $\mu \in \nu 0 \nu$.


38．ä $\lambda \lambda_{0} \tau \iota \phi \backslash \epsilon \hat{i} \tau \alpha l$ ：＂is it not loved？＂The use of $a \lambda \lambda_{0} \tau \iota$ or ä $\lambda \lambda 0 \tau \iota$ 分 as an equivalent of $\hat{a} \rho a$ ov（Lat．nomne）originates in such a sentence as ä入入o $\tau \iota \pi$ тotcîs $\eta$ ）$\theta a v \mu a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s$ ；lit．＂do you do anything else than wonder？＂i．e．＂do you not wonder？＂

46．trò $\theta \in о \phi \iota \lambda \in e_{s}:$ these words are not in any MS．，but are essential to the argument．They form the subject both of $\phi \iota \lambda \in i \tau a l$ and of $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ ．

 a protasis in the imperfect indicative，implying that the condition is not fulfilled－＂if what is dear to the gods and what is holy were identical（but they are not）．＂Subordinate to this main protasis are two others，introduced respectively by $\epsilon i \mu \epsilon \in \nu$ and $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ；and each of these has an apodosis introduced by kai（＂also＂）．Note however that，although the imperfect indicative is used in these subordinate protases，the condition in each case is as a matter of fact fulfilled． The form of the main protasis determines the form of those sub－ ordinate to it．

10．vvิv $\delta \in \in:$＂but as it is，＂＂but as a matter of fact．＂

12．oiov фし入єíotar：＂such a thing as to be loved．＂
15．$\pi \dot{d} \theta$ os：＂attribute＂or＂property．＂This term is explained
 explained by the infinitive $\phi \iota \lambda \in i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．
 is the essence of which being loved is an attribute．＂$\epsilon i$ ．．．$\sigma o l$ $\phi(\lambda o v:$＂if you please．＂
 accusative of the person from whom a thing is concealed．

19．єi＇T ．．．єi＇Tє：＂either ．．．or，＂implying that it does not matter which supposition is true．óтьঠ́：＂anything whatsoever．＂ The addition of $\delta \dot{\eta}$ or oûv converts a relative into an indefinite pronoun．

23．$\epsilon \pi \omega$ ：deliberative subjunctive in a dependent question．
27．$\Delta a \iota \delta \alpha^{\prime}$ ou：possessive genitive forming the predicate to éouct eival，＂seem to be the work of Daedalus．＂This mythical artist was said to have made statues that could move．He was regarded as the ancestor of all sculptors；so that Socrates，the son of a sculptor，here calls him $\pi \rho$ órovos．

29．ảpa：indicating surprise，＂after all．＂$\tau$ à év $\tau$ oîs $\lambda$ óyoıs épya： ＂the products of my argument．＂＂$\rho \gamma a$ is often used of works of art．

31．vv̂v סè $\sigma$ al yàp ．．．ciotv：＂but，as a matter of fact，the definitions are really your own．＂$\gamma \alpha^{\rho} \rho$ is a compound of $\gamma \in$ and äpa， and in this phrase the force of $\gamma \in$ predominates．Others suppose an ellipsis，and give $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$ its ordinary sense－＂but in fact（it is not so）， for，etc．＂

32．$\sigma$ ol：the pronoun is accented because it is emphatic，＂for you （not for me）．＂

34．$\sigma \chi \in \delta o ́ v \tau \iota$ ：＂pretty nearly．＂
36．тovitors：the dative depends on évtı $\theta \epsilon i$ ．tov̂to：in agreement with $\tau$ ̀̀ $\pi \epsilon p u$ évar．


40．тобои́тب̣：dative of measure of difference with $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \tau \in p o s$ ．After $\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \varphi$ a comparative adjective would be expected，but the form of the sentence is suddenly changed．The logical form of expression would be，＂I am as much cleverer than Dacdalus as a man who makes other people＇s productions move is cleverer than a man who makes only his own productions move．＂Translate $\tau \sigma \sigma o u \tau \omega$ ö $\sigma$, ，＂in so far as．＂

42．$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \boldsymbol{\tau \epsilon} \mathrm{X} \nu \eta \mathrm{\jmath}$ ：partitive genitive depending on $\tau$ oû̃o．
43．бoфós：＂clever，＂as an artist is．दُßovió $\mu \eta \nu$ äv：the potential imperfect indicative with $\not d \nu$ refers either to a present act or to a con－ tinued action in the past；＂I could wish（now），＂or＂I could have wished．＂
 was proverbial for his wealth．
47．трифâv：＂to stand on your dignity．＂taủтós ．．$\delta \downarrow \delta$ á $\xi_{n s}$ ： with this，the MISS．reading，ôeîquc lacks an object－＂I will help you myself to show ．．．in order that you may instruct me．＂In order to get an object－clause，some editors read $\delta i \delta \dot{\alpha} \xi \alpha, s$, ＂to show how you might instruct me．＂But it is better to omit $\delta \in i \xi \neq L$ and retain $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \xi \eta s$. $0 \ddot{0} \pi \omega s a \nu$ with the subjunctive in a final clause is practically equivalent to $\delta \pi \omega \operatorname{s}$ or $i v a$ ；but originally the $\not \approx \nu$ would imply a suppressed son－ ditional clause；as here，＂in order that（if I do so）you may instruct me．＂

49．ísè $\gamma$ àp $\epsilon \mathfrak{i}$ oủk ．．．ठокє̂̂：in a dependent question $\epsilon i=$ Lat． mum，and the negative of the direct question is retained．Sikalov： throughout this passage the word has the wide meaning of＂morally right，＂not the specific meaning of＂just．＂

54．Tò $\delta \epsilon \in \tau \iota$ кal ä入入o ：＂and the rest，whatever it is，different？＂$\tau \iota$ gives indefiniteness to rò $\delta \epsilon$ ．

55．＂ौтоцal：Euthyphro uses this word figuratively，of following with one＇s understanding ；but Socrates applies it literally when he says that Euthyphro，being a younger man，ought to be able to＂keep up＂with him．

56．kal $\mu \mathfrak{\eta} v$ ：here adversative，like каíтoц，＂and yet．＂oủk é入átтov u：practically the same as $\tau 0 \sigma o u ́ \tau \varphi$ ．

57．© $\lambda$＇́ $\gamma \omega$ ：an idiomatic use of the present tense to refer to a previous statement．
 $\pi o \imath \eta T \eta$＇s， cp ．the Old English＂maker＂in the sense of＂poet．＂The equotation which follows is taken from the Cypria，an epic poem（not extant）which started with the first cause of the Trojan war and described events prior to those narrated in Homer＇s I liad．The poem was ascribed to various authors，e．g．Stasinus of Cyprus．

61．Z $\hat{\eta} v a$ ：a poetical form for＂$\Delta\{a$ ．＂ep $\xi$ avia：aorist participle of ${ }^{\ell} \rho \delta \omega_{0}$ 。
63. Iva: in the local sense, "where"; and similarly throughout this passage.
69. $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} v:$ adverbial accusative.
72. ठéos єival: sc. ठокєî $\mu$ оь.
74. $\pi \in \phi$ ó $\beta \eta \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$ : the perfect denotes the present stato, "is afraid," resulting from a past act, "has been frightened."

79. Tєріттóv: "odd," opposed to ápriov, "even."
83. Tò тoเov̂rov ... $\lambda \in$ ' $\gamma \omega \nu$ : "meaning something like that." кal є̇кєî: "there also," viz. in 13, 52-54.

Ch. 14-16. - The question remains, What part of right conduct is holiness? Fourth definition: "Holiness is that part of justice which is concerned with care for the gods." An examination of the meaning of "care" shows that the care here meant is not the care which improves those on whom it is bestowed (e.g. the care of a man for a horse), but "service" like that of a slave to his master. The analogy of the trades shows that such service ministers to the production of some result. What then is the result produced by the gods? Euthyphro avoids answering, and states his fifth definition: "Holiness is the knowledge of how to pray and sacrifice to the gods."

14, 2. Tò $\pi$ oiov $\mu$ ṕpos: the article is used with moios when it asks for further definition of something that has been already mentioned.
3. єi $\mathfrak{\eta} \rho \dot{\cos } \tau \alpha \mathrm{s}$. . . єโтоv ${ }^{\text {alv }}$ : the imperfect indicative in the protasis here, as often, refers to present time; and so does the aorist indicative with $\alpha \nu$ in the apodosis. This use is abnormal, but occurs in several passages of Plato. The force of the aorist seems to be, "I should at once say," whereas the imperfect would imply duration, "I should be saying."
4. oiov: "for instance."

 geometrical terminology.
10. $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ : "tell," in the sense of "bid." Accordingly the negative with the dependent infinitives is $\mu$.
15. 5. $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o \mu \in \nu$ रáp $\pi o v$-oîó $\phi a \mu \in \nu$ : the construction is broken at mov. The sentence begins as though Socrates was going to indicate in general terms how care for gods differs from care for men ; instead of doing this, he gives particular instances, introduced by otov, "for example."
 in 1.18.
 the dative often denotes purpose; cp. $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta, 1.29$.
28. ©ंs aủt

40．$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ ov̂ kai $\delta \in \in \omega$ ：＂far from it，＂lit．＂I lack much，＂i．e．I come far short（of thinking that you mean that）．
41．oủX ท่ $\gamma o u ́ \mu \in v o s . . . \lambda \in ́ \gamma \in เ \nu$ ：this is practically a repetition of the words toútou $\delta \grave{\eta}$ ย゙vєкa．

45．єीєv：＂very well，＂＂bo it so．＂The word is connected with the exclamation $\epsilon \tau \alpha$ ，not with the verb el $\mu$ ．
 $\hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho$ 。

49．$\theta_{\text {eois }}$ ：the dative depends on $\dot{\psi} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \kappa \kappa \dot{\eta}$ ，an adjective taking the same construction as the verb $\dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \in \tau \epsilon \in \omega$ ．

16．1．， $\mathfrak{\eta}$ latpoîs í $\pi \eta \rho \in \tau<\kappa \eta:$＂the service that ministers to doctors，＂i．e．the medicines that they use．

2．＂pyou：＂result．＂
3．єis viplelas：sc．ditepraбiav．Similarly with eis $\pi \lambda$ olov and cis oikias in 11．7， 8.

19．тò кє申á入atov av̉тต̂v：＂their chief result．＂
24．aủz $\hat{\nu}$ ：the genitive depends on $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma$ las．
27．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ кai кал $\bar{\omega} \nu$ ：partitive genitive depending on $\tau i$ ， ＂out of the many fine results which the gods produce，what is the chief result of their working？＂

29．ó $\lambda$ iyov ．．．$\pi$ ро́тєpov：the degree of difference with a com－ parative may be expressed either by the accusative or by the dative of the words $\langle\lambda i$ yov and $\pi 0 \lambda \dot{v}$ ．

 parative used absolutely，＂more（than ordinary）．＂

31．à $\pi \lambda \hat{\omega}$ s：＂simply，＂i．e．without going into details．
34．$\tau \grave{\alpha}$ коเข⿳亠 $\tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu: ~ " c o m m o n w e a l t h s . " ~$
Ch．17－20．－Socrates shows that holiness，according to this definition， is the lonowledge of how to ask from the gods and give to them；con－ sequently it is a kind of trading，in which the benefit is all on man＇s side． It is acceptable，but not profituble to or loved by the gods．Euthyphro blurts out that they do love it，thereby harking back to his already discredited definition，＂Holiness is what is dear to the gods．＂Socrates wishes io make a fresh start，but Euthyphro remembers that he has an engagement．

17．1．$\pi 0 \lambda$ v́：adverbial accusative with $\beta \rho a \chi u \tau$＇́ $\rho \omega \nu$ ．
3．ả $\lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ रáp：cp．7， $8, n$ ．$\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o s \in \hat{\imath}$ ：Greek prefers the personal form of expression ；but we should say，＂it is plain．＂
 answer to my question．© ci cireкрpive：＂but if you had given that answer．＂$\delta=\tau 0 \hat{T}$ тo $\delta$ ह́．
 have been in possession of information．＂
 the MSS．read $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$（or $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \tau a$ ）$\tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varphi$ ．Plato often
speaks of the relation between teacher and pupil as similar to that between the lover and the object of his affections．

7．$\dot{v} \pi a \neq n$ ：the force of $\dot{u} \pi \dot{b} \dot{\text { in }}$ in composition is often＂gradually．＂
14．air $\eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ кal $\delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ $\theta \in o i ̂ s: ~ a f t e r ~ a i r \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ s u p p l y ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ ． $\delta \delta \sigma \iota s$ takes the dative，on the analogy of $\delta i \delta \omega \mu$ ．

18．Xaual trєбєîтal：metaphorical for＂will come to nought．＂
20．av่тov̀s ．．ékelvols ：both pronouns refer to the gods．
18．3．ả $\lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha_{\alpha} \tau$ ：＂of course，＂lit．＂why，what（else could it be）？＂
6．тєXขко́v：＂workmanlike，＂i．e．appropriate in one who has mastered the craft in question．

14．$\hat{\omega} v:$ the relative is assimilated to the case of the antecedent． a ．．．$\delta \delta \delta o \sigma_{a \sigma v}$ ：the clause is an indirect question，depending on $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu(\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i)$ ．The relative ös is occasionally used in such clauses instead of the interrogative $\tau$ is or $0 \sigma \tau \tau$ s．

16．ä：supply as antecedent $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \dot{\partial} \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ．
23．$\tau i \delta \eta \eta_{\pi} \pi<\tau \epsilon$ ：$\tau i$ shows that Socrates is asking for a definition of the gifts，instead of which Euthyphro mentions particular instances of gifts．
 $\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \iota \mu o \nu$ is also $\phi i \lambda o \nu$ ．

30．тov̀тo：this refers to the phrase rò $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~ \phi i \lambda o \nu$ ．av̂：＂once more＂；$\tau$ ò öб七ov had been already defined thus in 7， 25.
 Socrates is referring to Euthyphro＇s words in 13， 37.

5．kal ．．．Totêv：кai introduces the explanation of the particular feature in which Euthyphro surpasses Daedalus．кúк $\lambda \omega \pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ óvтas： the figures of Daedalus merely moved about，but Euthyphro＇s argu－ ments move in a circle．Some MSS．have $\pi \epsilon \rho / \theta_{0} \tau a s$ ；the possibility of the elision of the $c$ of $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ in this compound of $\epsilon i \mu \tau$ is proved by the metre in some passages of Aristophanes．

7．दे $\nu \tau \hat{e} \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ ：the reference is to 13，5－13．
12．ä $\lambda \lambda_{0} \tau_{\iota} \mathfrak{\eta}$ ：this expression has here lost its usual force（ $=$ nomne； cp．12，38，n．），and is equivalent to＂I suppose．＂$\gamma(\gamma \nu \in \tau ⿻ 上 丨 匕: ~ " t u r n s$ out to be．＂

20．2．ékc̀v єival：＂if I can help it，＂lit．＂so far as being willing goes．＂Attic writers use the expression only in negative sentences．
 else does，＂i．e．＂you know better than anyone else．＂

6．$̈ \sigma \pi \in \rho \dot{\delta}$ Пр $\quad$ тєús：the sea－god Proteus could change himself into any shape at will；but in spite of this he was orerpowered by Menelaus，king of Sparta，on his way home from the Trojan war，in the island of Pharos off the coast of Eyypt．Proteus then revealed to Menelaus the destinies of the Greek chieftains．


prefixed to substantives which denote a person's rank or condition in life.
 and $\dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ for $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$. These forms are sometimes explained as aorists, and accented $\delta \iota \omega \kappa а \theta \in i v$, к.т. $\lambda$.
 here a threefold construction: (a) accusative of the direct object, (b) an infinitive, (c) a dependent clause introduced by $\mu \eta$. "You would have feared the gods, (feared) to run a risk, (and feared)
 used in a clause dependent on a verb of fearing in a historic tense (as here, $\hat{a} \nu$ ้ $\varepsilon \delta \delta \epsilon(\sigma a s)$; similarly the future indicatire is occasionally found when the principal verb is in a primary tense. The normal construction here would be the present or aorist optative or subjunctive.
15. $\sigma \pi \epsilon \varepsilon^{\delta} \delta \omega \pi$ tol: "I am in a hurry (to get) somewhere."
17. oía motiês: exclamatory, "what treatment this is!"
18. $\dot{\omega}$. . . $\dot{a} \pi a \lambda \lambda a ́ \xi o \mu a \iota: ~ t h i s ~ c l a u s e ~ i s ~ e x p l a n a t o r y ~ o f ~ \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi l \bar{l} o s$. The second kal in l. 19 is "also."
22. kal $\delta \eta$ रो kal: "and above all"; cp. 6, 34.
23. ठ'т . . . $\beta \omega \omega \sigma \circ\{\mu \eta \nu$ : this clause, like the two preceding, probably depends on $\epsilon \nu \delta \in \epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \mu \in \nu 0 s$; it may also be tiken as parallel to the clause $\dot{\omega}$ s... $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \xi \xi^{\prime} \mu a \iota$ and depending on the words $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi!\dot{\delta} o s$ $\ldots \hat{\eta} \nu \epsilon \chi \chi \nu$. The future optative in indirert speech depending on a historic tense corresponds to the future indicative of the direct form ; but the indicative may always be retained (hence $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \xi \circ \mu a \iota$.)
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